

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/798,102	UMEDA ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Holly Rickman	1794	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Holly Rickman.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Joe Fox.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 3 June 2008

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*112,2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph rejections of record*

Claims discussed:

*all pending*

Prior art documents discussed:

*art of record*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Holly Rickman/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that the 112, second paragraph rejection of record had not been corrected in the response filed 2/29/08. Mr. Fox agreed that the last portion of claims 31 and 41 could be deleted by examiner's amendment in order to overcome the 112 rejection of record. Mr.Fox also agreed to an amendment to claim 5 to change its dependency to claim 1. .